

JOINT ERC WORKSHOP ON SUPERFIELDS, SELF-COMPLETION AND STRINGS & GRAVITY

October 22-24, 2014 - Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich



Special Geometry and Born-Infeld Attractors

Sergio Ferrara
(CERN - Geneva)
24th October, 2014



Summary of the talk

- *Nilpotent Superfields in Superspace*
- *Applications to Rigid and Local Supersymmetry*
- *Partial Supersymmetry Breaking in Rigid N=2 Theories*
- *Emergence of Volkov-Akulov and Born-Infeld Actions*
- *Symplectic Structure and Black-Hole Attractors:
analogies and differences*
- *New $U(1)^n$ Born-Infeld Actions and Theory of Invariant
Polynomials*

Some of the material of this presentation originates from some recent work with Antoniadis, Dudas, Sagnotti; Kallosh, Linde and some work in progress with Porrati, Sagnotti

The latter introduces a generalization of the Born-Infeld Action for an arbitrary $U(1)^n$ $N=2$ supergravity with $N=2$ self-interacting vector multiplets

NILPOTENCY CONSTRAINTS IN SPONTANEOUSLY BROKEN $N=1$ RIGID SUPERSYMMETRY

(Casalbuoni, De Curtis, Dominici, Ferruglio, Gatto; Komargodski, Seiberg; Rocek; Lindstrom, Rocek)

X chiral superfield ($\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} X = 0$) nilpotency: $X^2 = 0$

solution: $X = \frac{GG}{F_G} + i\sqrt{2}\theta G + \theta^2 F_G$ (G Weyl fermion)

Lagrangian: $\text{Re } X \bar{X} \Big|_D + f X \Big|_F$

Equivalent to Volkov-Akulov goldstino action

More general constraints (Komargodski, Seiberg)

$X^2 = 0$, $XY = 0$ independent fields $\psi_X = G$, ψ_Y

$XW_{\alpha} = 0$ independent fields $\psi_X = G$, $F_{\mu\nu}$, $\lambda = f(\psi_X, F_{\mu\nu})$

NILPOTENT CONSTRAINTS IN LOCAL SUPERSYMMETRY (SUPERHIGGS EFFECT IN SUPERGRAVITY)

Pure supergravity coupled to the Goldstino

$$W(X) = f X + m$$

theory of a massive gravitino coupled to gravity
and cosmological constant: $\Lambda = |f|^2 - 3m^2$

(Deser, Zumino; Rocek; Antoniadis, Dudas, Sagnotti, S.F.)

Volkov-Akulov-Starobinsky supergravity

(a linear (inflaton) multiplet T , and a non-linear
Goldstino multiplet S , with $S^2=0$)

$$V = \frac{M^2}{12} \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi}\right)^2 + \frac{M^2}{18} e^{-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi} a^2$$

Starobinsky inflaton potential

axion field

Recently, nilpotent super fields have been used in more general theories of inflation

(Kallosh, Linde, S.F.; Kallosh, Linde)

For a class of models with SUPERPOTENTIAL

$$W = S f(T)$$

*(Kallosh, Linde, Roest;
Kallosh, Linde, Rube)*

the resulting potential is a no-scale type, and has a universal form

$$V_{\text{eff}} = e^{K(T)} K^{S\bar{S}} |f(T)|^2 > 0 \quad \text{goldstino } \psi_S$$

with $T_{\theta=0} = \varphi + ia$, *inflaton:* φ *or* a

depending which one is lighter during inflation

GENERALITIES ON PARTIAL SUPERSYMMETRY BREAKING GLOBAL SUPERSYMMETRY

(Witten; Hughes, Polchinski; Cecotti, Girardello, Porrati, Maiani, S.F.;
Girardello, Porrati, S.F.; Antoniadis, Partouche, Taylor)

$a = 1 \dots N$ $\delta\chi^a$ fermion variations; $J_{\mu\alpha}^a(X)$ susy Noether current

Current algebra relation (Polchinski)

$$\int d^3y \{ \bar{J}_{0\dot{\alpha}b}(y), J_{\mu\alpha}^a(x) \} = 2\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\nu T_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta_b^a + \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\alpha}} C_b^a$$

Relation to the scalar potential:

$$\delta\chi^a \delta\bar{\chi}_b = V \delta_b^a + C_b^a$$

In $N=2$ (APT, FGP)

$$C_b^a = \sigma^{xa}{}_b \epsilon_{xyz} Q^y \wedge Q^z = 2\sigma^{xa}{}_b (\vec{E} \wedge \vec{M})_x; \quad Q^x = \begin{pmatrix} M^x \\ E^x \end{pmatrix}$$

*In Supergravity (partial SuperHiggs) (CGP, FM, FGP)
there is an extra term in the potential which allows
supersymmetric anti-de-Sitter vacua*

$$\delta\chi^a \delta\bar{\chi}_b = V \delta_b^a + 3\mathcal{M}^{ac} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{bc}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{ab} = \mathcal{M}_{ba} \text{ "gravitino mass" term}$$

$$\delta\psi_\mu^a = D_\mu \epsilon^a + \mathcal{M}^{ab} \gamma_\mu \bar{\epsilon}_b$$

$N=2$ RIGID (SPECIAL) GEOMETRY

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = C_{ikp} \bar{C}_{\bar{j}\bar{l}\bar{p}} g^{p\bar{p}} \qquad V = \left(X^A, \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial X^A} \right)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{i}} V = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_j \partial_i V = C_{jik} g^{k\bar{k}} \partial_{\bar{k}} \bar{V}, \quad \partial_{\bar{j}} \partial_i V = 0$$

$$C_{ikp} = \partial_i \partial_k \partial_p \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{ikp}$$

$$\mathcal{U} = X^2 + \frac{X^3}{M} + \dots + \frac{X^{n+2}}{M^n}$$

$$\text{for } M \text{ large: } \mathcal{U} - X^2 \sim \frac{X^3}{M} \qquad M \mathcal{U}_{ABC} = d_{ABC}$$

*N=2 rigid supersymmetric theory with Fayet-Iliopoulos terms
(n vector multiplets, no hypermultiplets) in N=1 notations*

Kahler potential: $K = i(X^a \bar{U}_A - \bar{X}^A U_A)$, $U_A = \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_A}{\partial X^A}$

(\mathcal{U} : N=2 prepotential)

Fayet-Iliopoulos terms:

triplet of (real) symplectic ($\text{Sp}(2n)$) constant vectors

$$\vec{Q} = (\vec{M}^A, \vec{E}_A) = (Q_c, Q_3) = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^A + i m_2^A, & e_{1A} + i e_{2A} \\ m_3^A, & e_3^A \end{pmatrix}$$

($A = 1, \dots, n$)

Superpotential: $W = (\mathcal{U}_A m^A - X^A e_A)$ $Q_c = (m^A, e_A)$

D term N=1 F-I magnetic and electric charges: $Q_3 = (\epsilon^A, \zeta_A)$

Due to the underlying symplectic structure of N=2 rigid special geometry, we can rewrite all expressions by using the symplectic metric

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and symplectic sections } V = (X^A, \mathcal{U}_A)$$

$$W = (V, Q) = V \Omega Q, \quad K = -i(V, \bar{V})$$

$$V_F = (\text{Im } \mathcal{U}_{AB}^{-1}) \frac{\partial W}{\partial X^A} \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \bar{X}^B} = \bar{Q}_c^T \mathcal{M} Q_c + i(\bar{Q}_c, Q_c)$$

$$V_D = Q_3^T \mathcal{M} Q_3 \quad \text{so that}$$

$$V = V_F + V_D = \bar{Q}_c^T (\mathcal{M} + i\Omega) Q_c + Q_3^T \mathcal{M} Q_3$$

The matrix \mathcal{M} is a real (positive definite) symmetric and symplectic $2n \times 2n$ matrix

$$\mathcal{M}^T = \mathcal{M} \quad \mathcal{M}\Omega\mathcal{M} = \Omega \quad \mathcal{M} > 0$$

It is related to the F^2 , $F\tilde{F}$ terms in the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = g_{AB}(X) F_{\mu\nu}^A F^{B\mu\nu} + \theta_{AB}(X) F_{\mu\nu}^A \tilde{F}^{B\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} g + \theta g^{-1} \theta & -\theta g^{-1} \\ -g^{-1} \theta & g^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$N=1$ supersymmetric vacua require (Porrati, Sagnotti, S.F.)

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial X^A} = 0, \quad V_D = 0 \quad \text{since} \quad \mathcal{M} > 0$$

The $V_D = 0$ condition requires $Q_3 = 0$

The first equation implies

$$(\mathcal{M} + i\Omega) Q_c = 0 \quad \text{which requires} \quad i\bar{Q}_c \Omega Q_c < 0$$

Since $\bar{Q}_c \mathcal{M} Q_c$ is positive definite, and at the attractor point we have

$$\bar{Q}_c \mathcal{M}_{\text{crit}} Q_c = -i\bar{Q}_c \Omega Q_c$$

So it is crucial that Q_c is complex. To simplify, we will later consider m^A real and e_A complex, so that the previous condition is $m_1^A e_{2A} < 0$

The theory here considered is the generalization to n vector multiplets of the theory considered by Antoniadis, Partouche, Taylor (1995)

Later, this theory ($n=1$) was shown to reproduce in some limit (Rocek, Tseytlin, 1998) the supersymmetric Born-Infeld action (Cecotti, S.F., 1986). The latter was shown (Bagger, Galperin, 1996) to be the Goldstone action for $N=2$ partially broken to $N=1$ where the gauging $\lambda = W_\alpha \Big|_{\theta=0}$ is the Goldstone fermion of the second broken supersymmetry.

EXTREMAL BLACK HOLE ANALOGIES

In the case of asymptotically flat black holes, the so-called

Black-Hole Potential for an extremal (single-center)

black-hole solution is (Kallosh, S.F., 1996)

$$V_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{2} Q^T \mathcal{M} Q \quad Q = (m^A, e_A) \text{ is the asymptotic black-hole charge}$$

The theory of **BH** attractors (Kallosh, Strominger, S.F., 1995)

tells that

$$V_{\text{BH}} \Big|_{\text{crit}} = \frac{1}{2} Q^c \mathcal{M}(X_{\text{crit}}) Q = \frac{1}{\pi} S(Q) = \frac{1}{4\pi} A_H$$

where $S(Q)$ is the BH entropy (Bekenstein-Hawking area formula)

In the $N=2$ case (Ceresole, D'Auria, S.F.; Gibbons, Kallosh, S.F.)

$$V_{\text{BH}} = g^{i\bar{j}} \mathcal{D}_i Z \mathcal{D}_{\bar{j}} \bar{Z} + |Z|^2$$

so that at the BPS attractor point ($\mathcal{D}_i Z = 0$)

$$V_{\text{BH}} = |Z_{\text{crit}}|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} S(Q)$$

In analogy to the $N=2$ partial breaking of the rigid case, the BPS black hole breaks $N=2$ down to $N=1$, and the central charge is the quantity replacing the super potential W .

The entropy and BI action are both expressed through W .

In our problem, the attractors occur at $V_{\text{crit}} = 0$,
(because of unbroken space time supersymmetry)
and this is only possible because the **Fayet-Iliopoulos** charge is
an **SU(2)** triplet (**charged in the F-term direction**) and thus
allows the attractor equation

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\text{crit}} + i\Omega) Q_c = 0 \quad \text{being satisfied.}$$

We call these vacua **Born-Infeld** attractors,
for reasons will become soon evident

The superspace action of the theory in question is

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Im} \left(\mathcal{U}_{AB} W_{\alpha}^A W_{\beta}^B \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} + W(X) \right) \Big|_F + \left(X^A \bar{\mathcal{U}}_A - \bar{X}^A \mathcal{U}_A \right) \Big|_D$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for X^A are

$$\mathcal{U}_{ABC} W^B W^C + \mathcal{U}_{AB} (m^B - \bar{D}^2 \bar{X}^B) - e_A + \bar{D}^2 \bar{\mathcal{U}}_A = 0$$

These are the complete X^A equations for the theory in question.

The first thing to note is that our attractor gives a mass to the $N=1$ chiral multiplet X^A , but not to the $N=1$ vector multiplets W_{α} .

So $N=2$ is broken.

Indeed, our action is invariant under a second supersymmetry η^α , which acts on the $N=1$ chiral super fields (X^A, W_α^A) (Bagger, Galperin $n=1$)

$$\begin{aligned}\delta X^A &= \eta^\alpha W_\alpha^A, \\ \delta W_\alpha^A &= \eta_\alpha (m^A - \bar{D}^2 \bar{X}^A) - i\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} X^A \bar{\eta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\end{aligned}$$

and because of the m^A parameter, the second supersymmetry is spontaneously broken.

Note that the m^A, e_{2A} parameters are those which allow the equations

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial Z^A} = \left(\mathcal{U}_{AB} m^B - e_A \right) = 0 \quad \text{to have solutions}$$

Expanding the fields around their “classical” value cancel the linear terms in the action and we obtain (Porrati, Sagnotti, S.F.)

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta X^A} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_{ABC} \left[W^B W^C + X^B (m^C - \bar{D}^2 \bar{X}^C) \right] + \bar{D}^2 \bar{U}_A = 0$$

The BI approximation corresponds to have $\bar{D}^2 \bar{U}_A = 0$,
(which we solve letting $U_A = 0$ as operator condition)

The $N=2$ Born-Infeld generalized lagrangian turns out to be
(Porrati, Sagnotti, S.F.)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BI}}^{N=2} = -\text{Im} W(X) \Big|_{\theta^2} = \text{Re} F^A e_{2A} + \text{Im} F^A e_{1A}$$

with the chiral superfields X^A solutions of the above constraints

The super field **BI** constraint allows to write the n chiral fermions in terms of the n gauginos, so λ_g is a linear combination of the n (dressed) gauginos

In Black-Hole physics, the superpotential is the $N=2$ central charge, and

$$S_{\text{entropy}} = \pi |Z|_{\text{crit}}^2$$

SOLUTION OF THE BORN-INFELD ATTRACTOR EQUATIONS

For $n=1$, the above equation is the BI constraint

$$X = \frac{-W^2}{m - \bar{D}^2 \bar{X}}$$

(electric magnetic self-dual BG
inherited from the linear theory)

which also implies the nilpotency

(Komargodsky, Seiberg; Casalbuoni et al)

Type of constraints

$$X W_\alpha = 0, \quad X^2 = 0$$

This type of constraints have been recently used in inflationary supergravity dynamics to simplify and to provide a more general supergravity breaking sector

(Kallosh, Linde, S.F.; Kallosh, Linde; Antoniadis, Dudas, Sagnotti, S.F.)

The **Born-Infeld** action comes by solving the θ^2 component of the chiral superfield equation

$$\left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial X^A} \right|_{\theta^2} \Rightarrow d_{ABC} \left[G_+^B G_+^C + F^B (m^C - \bar{F}^C) \right] = 0$$

$$G_{\pm}^A = F_{\mu\nu}^A \pm \frac{i}{2} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^A \quad \text{and we have set} \quad D^A = 0$$

by taking the real and imaginary parts of this equation we have

$$d_{ABC} \left(H^B + \frac{m^B}{2} \right) \left(\frac{m^C}{2} - H^C \right) = d_{ABC} \left(-G^B G^C + \text{Im} F^B \text{Im} F^C \right)$$

$$d_{ABC} \text{Im} F^B m^C = -d_{ABC} G^B \tilde{G}^C$$

$$\text{and we have set} \quad \text{Re} F^A = \frac{m^A}{2} - H^A$$

CLASSIFICATION OF d_{ABC} .

THEORY OF INVARIANT POLYNOMIALS

(Mumford, Gelfand, Dieudonné, et al)

$$\mathcal{U}(X) = \frac{1}{3!} d_{ABC} X^A X^B X^C$$

$n=2$ case: d_{ABC} (4 entries) spin $3/2$ representation of $SL(2)$

It has a unique (quartic) invariant which is also the discriminant of
the cubic (Cayley hyperdeterminant)

(Duff, q-bit entanglement in quantum information theory)

$$I_4 = -27 d_{222}^2 d_{111}^2 + d_{221}^2 d_{112}^2 + 18 d_{222} d_{111} d_{211} d_{221} - 4 d_{111} d_{122}^3 - 4 d_{222} d_{211}^3$$

CLASSIFICATION OF d_{ABC} .

THEORY OF INVARIANT POLYNOMIALS

(Mumford, Gelfand, Dieudonné, et al)

$$I_4 = -27 d_{222}^2 d_{111}^2 + d_{221}^2 d_{112}^2 + 18 d_{222} d_{111} d_{211} d_{221} - 4 d_{111} d_{122}^3 - 4 d_{222} d_{211}^3$$

Four orbits $I_4 > 0, I_4 < 0, I_4 = 0, \partial I_4 = 0$

Two of them ($I_4 < 0, \partial I_4 = 0$) give trivial models.

The other two ($I_4 \geq 0$) give non-trivial $U(1)^2$ BI theories

Extremal black-hole analogy:

the model in question corresponds to the T^3 model,

$\sqrt{|I_4|}$ is the BH Berenstein-Hawking entropy and the four orbits correspond to large **BPS** and **non-BPS** as well as small BH

An example: Explicit solution of the $I_4 > 0$ theory

$$\mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{3!} X^3 - \frac{1}{2} XY^2 \quad (d_{111} = 1, d_{122} = -1)$$

$$\text{Im } F_X = (m_X^2 + m_Y^2)^{-1} (m_X R_X + m_Y R_Y) \quad R_X = -2G^X \tilde{G}^Y$$

$$\text{Im } F_Y = (m_X^2 + m_Y^2)^{-1} (-m_Y R_X + m_X R_Y) \quad R_Y = -G^X \tilde{G}^X + G^Y \tilde{G}^Y$$

$$H_X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{S_X^2 + S_Y^2} - S_X \right)^{1/2} \quad S_X = T_X - \frac{m_X^2}{4} + \frac{m_Y^2}{4}$$

$$H_Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{S_X^2 + S_Y^2} + S_X \right)^{1/2} \quad S_Y = T_Y + \frac{m_X m_Y}{2}$$

$$-H_X^2 + H_Y^2 = S_X, \quad 2H_X H_Y = S_Y \quad (H^A \text{ equation})$$

$$T_X = -G^X G^X + \text{Im } F^X \text{Im } F^X + G^Y G^Y - \text{Im } F^Y \text{Im } F^Y$$

$$T_Y = 2(G^X G^Y + \text{Im } F^X \text{Im } F^Y) \quad \text{Note: for } G_{\mu\nu}^{X,Y} = 0 \rightarrow F_X = F_Y = 0$$