

Solutions for String Theory 101

Lectures at the International School of Strings and Fundamental Physics

Munich July 26 - August 6 2010

Neil Lambert
Theory Division
CERN
1211 Geneva 23
Switzerland

Email: neil.lambert@cern.ch

Problem: Show that if

$$m \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{v^i}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \right) = qF_{i0} + qF_{ij}v^j \quad (0.1)$$

is satisfied then so is

$$-m \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \right) = qF_{0i}v^i \quad (0.2)$$

Solution: We simply multiply (0.1) by v^i and use the anti-symmetry of F_{ij} to deduce

$$-m \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{v^i}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \right) v^i = qF_{0i}v^i \quad (0.3)$$

Now the left hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} -m \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{v^i}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \right) v^i &= -mv^2 \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \right) - \frac{m}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} v^i \frac{dv^i}{d\tau} \\ &= -mv^2 \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \frac{dv^2}{d\tau} \\ &= -mv^2 \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \right) - m(1-v^2) \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \right) \\ &= -m \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (0.4)$$

This agrees with the left hand side of (0.2) and since the right hand sides already agree we are done.

Problem: Show that, in static gauge $X^0 = \tau$, the Hamiltonian for a charged particle is

$$H = \sqrt{m^2 + (p^i - qA^i)(p^i - qA^i)} - qA_0 \quad (0.5)$$

Solution: In static gauge the Lagrangian is

$$L = -m\sqrt{1 - \dot{X}^i \dot{X}^i} + qA_0 + qA_i \dot{X}^i \quad (0.6)$$

so the momentum conjugate to X^i is

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}^i} \\ &= m \frac{\dot{X}^i}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} + qA_i \end{aligned} \quad (0.7)$$

Inverting this gives

$$\frac{\dot{X}^i}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} = (p^i - qA^i)/m \quad (0.8)$$

We square to find v^2

$$\frac{v^2}{1-v^2} = (p - qA)^2/m^2 \iff v^2 = \frac{(p - qA)^2}{m^2 + (p - qA)^2} \quad (0.9)$$

and hence

$$\dot{X}^i = \frac{p^i - qA^i}{\sqrt{m^2 + (p - qA)^2}} \quad (0.10)$$

Finally we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} H &= p_i \dot{X}^i - L \\ &= \frac{(p^i - qA^i)p_i}{\sqrt{m^2 + (p - qA)^2}} + \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{m^2 + (p - qA)^2}} - qA_0 - q \frac{(p^i - qA^i)A_i}{\sqrt{m^2 + (p - qA)^2}} \\ &= \sqrt{m^2 + (p - qA)^2} - qA_0 \end{aligned} \quad (0.11)$$

Problem: Find the Schödinger equation, constraint and effective action for a quantized particle in the backgroud of a classical electromagnetic field using the action

$$S_{pp} = - \int \frac{1}{2} e \left(-\frac{1}{e^2} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + m^2 \right) - A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu \quad (0.12)$$

Solution: Proceeding as before we first calculate

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{X}^\mu} \\ &= \frac{1}{e} \dot{X}^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + A_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (0.13)$$

Inverting this gives

$$\dot{X}^\mu = e\eta^{\mu\nu}(p_\nu - A_\nu) \quad (0.14)$$

Thus the main effect is merely to shift $p_\mu \rightarrow p_\mu - A_\mu$. The constraint is unchanged as the new term is independent of e :

$$\frac{1}{e^2} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + m^2 = 0 \quad (0.15)$$

however in terms of the momentum it becomes

$$(p_\mu - A_\mu)(p_\nu - A_\nu)\eta^{\mu\nu} + m^2 = 0 \quad (0.16)$$

In the calculation of the Hamiltonian we have two effects. The first is that we which find from the replacement $p_\mu \rightarrow p_\mu - A_\mu$ in the old Hamiltonian. The second is the addition of the $A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu$ term which leads to an addition term

$$A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu = e\eta^{\mu\nu}(p_\nu - A_\nu)A_\mu \quad (0.17)$$

The factors of A_μ from these two effects combine and we find

$$H = \frac{e}{2} \left(\eta^{\mu\nu}(p_\mu - A_\mu)(p_\nu - A_\nu) + m^2 \right) \quad (0.18)$$

Next consider the quantum theory where we consider wavefunctions $\Psi(X^\mu, \tau)$ and promote

$$\hat{p}_\mu \Psi = -i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial X^\mu}, \quad \hat{X}^\mu \Psi = X^\mu \Psi \quad (0.19)$$

Thus the Schrodinger equation is

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \tau} = \frac{e}{2} \left(-\eta^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^\mu} - iA_\mu \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^\nu} - iA_\nu \right) + m^2 \right) \Psi \quad (0.20)$$

and the constraint is

$$\left(-\eta^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^\mu} - iA_\mu \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^\nu} - iA_\nu \right) + m^2 \right) \Psi = 0 \quad (0.21)$$

Thus we again find that Ψ is independent of τ . The effective action is just found by replacing $\partial_\mu \rightarrow -ip_\mu + A_\mu$ and hence we have

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x (\partial_\mu \Psi - iA_\mu \Psi)(\partial_\nu \Psi - iA_\nu \Psi) \eta^{\mu\nu} + m^2 \Psi^2 \quad (0.22)$$

You should recognize this as a Klein-Gordon scalar field coupled to a background Electromagnetic field.

Problem: Show that by solving the equation of motion for the metric $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ on a d -dimensional worldsheet the action

$$S_{HT} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^d \sigma \sqrt{-\det(\gamma)} \left(\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} - m^2(d-2) \right) \quad (0.23)$$

one finds the action

$$S_{NG} = m^{2-d} \int d^d \sigma \sqrt{-\det(\partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu})} \quad (0.24)$$

for the remaining fields X^μ , *i.e.* calculate and solve the $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ equation of motion and then substitute the solution back into S_{HT} to obtain S_{NG} . Note that the action S_{HT} is often referred to as the Howe-Tucker form for the action whereas S_{NG} is the Nambu-Goto form. (Hint: You will need to use the fact that $\delta \sqrt{-\det(\gamma)} / \delta \gamma^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-\det(\gamma)}$)

Solution: From S_{HT} we calculate the $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ equation of motion

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \left(\gamma^{\gamma\delta} \partial_\gamma X^\mu \partial_\delta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} - m^2(d-2) \right) = 0 \quad (0.25)$$

This implies that

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = b \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (0.26)$$

for some b . To determine b we substitute back into the equation of motion to find

$$-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b}{4}(d/b - m^2(d-2)) = 0 \quad (0.27)$$

where we have used the fact that if $g_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}$ then

$$\gamma^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} = d/b \quad (0.28)$$

This tells us that $b = m^{-2}$. Substituting back into S_{HT} gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_{HT} &= -\frac{1}{2}m^{-d} \int d^d\sigma \sqrt{-\det g} \left(dm^2 - m^2(d-2) \right) \\ &= m^{2-d} \int d^d\sigma \sqrt{-\det g} \end{aligned} \quad (0.29)$$

where again $g_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}$. This is precisely S_{NG} .

Problem: What transformation law must $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ have to ensure that S_{HT} is reparameterization invariant? (Hint: Use the fact that

$$\frac{\partial\sigma'^\gamma}{\partial\sigma'^\alpha} \frac{\partial\sigma^\beta}{\partial\sigma'^\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\beta \quad (0.30)$$

why?)

Solution: Under a reparameterization $\sigma^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha(\sigma')$ we have that

$$\frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial\sigma^\alpha} = \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial\sigma'^\beta} \frac{\partial\sigma'^\beta}{\partial\sigma^\alpha} \quad (0.31)$$

Since the m^2 term is invariant it must be that

$$\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (0.32)$$

is invariant in order for the expression to make sense. Thus we are lead to postulate that

$$\gamma'_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial\sigma^\gamma}{\partial\sigma'^\alpha} \frac{\partial\sigma^\delta}{\partial\sigma'^\beta} \gamma_{\gamma\delta} \quad \iff \quad \gamma'^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial\sigma'^\alpha}{\partial\sigma^\gamma} \frac{\partial\sigma'^\beta}{\partial\sigma^\delta} \gamma_{\gamma\delta} \quad (0.33)$$

since

$$\frac{\partial \sigma'^\gamma}{\partial \sigma^\alpha} \frac{\partial \sigma^\beta}{\partial \sigma'^\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^\gamma}{\partial \sigma'^\alpha} \frac{\partial \sigma'^\beta}{\partial \sigma^\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\beta \quad (0.34)$$

It remains to check that

$$d^d \sigma \sqrt{-\det(\gamma)} \quad (0.35)$$

is invariant. However this follows from the above formula and the Jacobian transformation rule for integration

$$d^d \sigma = \det \left(\frac{\partial \sigma^\alpha}{\partial \sigma'^\beta} \right) d^d \sigma' \quad (0.36)$$

Problem: Show that if $x^\mu, p^\mu \neq 0$ then we also have

$$[x^\mu, p^\nu] = i\eta^{\mu\nu} \quad (0.37)$$

with the other commutators vanishing.

Solution: Recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}^\mu &= x^\mu + p^\mu \tau + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i \sum_{n \neq 0} \left(\frac{a_n^\mu}{n} e^{in(\tau+\sigma)} + \frac{\tilde{a}_n^\mu}{n} e^{in(\tau-\sigma)} \right) \\ \hat{P}^\mu &= \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left(p^\mu - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n^\mu e^{in(\tau+\sigma)} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \tilde{a}_n^\mu e^{in(\tau-\sigma)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (0.38)$$

and we require

$$[\hat{X}^\mu(\tau, \sigma), \hat{P}^\nu(\tau, \sigma')] = i\delta(\sigma - \sigma') \delta_\nu^\mu \quad (0.39)$$

In the lectures we considered terms that come from two oscillators, *i.e.* terms with a factor of $e^{in\tau + im\sigma}$. It should be clear that any term in the commutator with a single exponential must also vanish. Thus we see that the commutator of x^μ, w^μ, p^μ with any oscillators a_n^μ, \tilde{a}_n^μ must vanish. Thus the remaining terms are

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} [x^\mu + w^\mu \sigma, \alpha' p_\nu] = \frac{i}{2\pi} \delta_\nu^\mu \quad (0.40)$$

where on the right hand side we have included a left over term from the calculation of the oscillators (*i.e.* the $n = 0$ term from the Fourier decomposition of $\delta(\sigma - \sigma')$). Okay, it is clear that the term linear in σ on the left hand side must vanish. Thus we find

$$[x^\mu, p_\nu] = i\delta_\nu^\mu, \quad [w^\mu, p_\nu] = 0 \quad (0.41)$$

Problem: Show that in these coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{++} &= \partial_+ \hat{X}^\mu \partial_+ \hat{X}^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\ \hat{T}_{--} &= \partial_- \hat{X}^\mu \partial_- \hat{X}^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\ \hat{T}_{+-} &= T_{-+} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (0.42)$$

Solution: We have

$$\hat{T}_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\gamma\delta} \partial_\gamma X^\mu \partial_\delta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (0.43)$$

The new coordinates are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^+ &= \tau + \sigma \\ \sigma^- &= \tau - \sigma \end{aligned} \iff \begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{\sigma^+ + \sigma^-}{2} \\ \sigma &= \frac{\sigma^+ - \sigma^-}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (0.44)$$

and hence it follows that $ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2 = -d\sigma^+ d\sigma^-$. From this we read off that $\eta_{++} = \eta_{--} = 0$ and $\eta_{-+} = \eta_{+-} = -\frac{1}{2}$. Hence $\eta^{++} = \eta^{--} = 0$ and $\eta^{-+} = \eta^{+-} = -2$. Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{++} &= \partial_+ \hat{X}^\mu \partial_+ \hat{X}^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\ \hat{T}_{--} &= \partial_- \hat{X}^\mu \partial_- \hat{X}^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (0.45)$$

For the \hat{T}_{-+} components we note that

$$\eta^{\gamma\delta} \partial_\gamma X^\mu \partial_\delta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} = -4 \partial_- X^\mu \partial_+ X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (0.46)$$

so that

$$\hat{T}_{-+} = \partial_- X^\mu \partial_+ X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 4 \partial_- X^\mu \partial_+ X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (0.47)$$

Problem: Show that

$$\langle 0, 0; 0 | : L_2 :: L_{-2} : | 0; 0, 0 \rangle = \frac{D}{2} \quad (0.48)$$

Solution: We have

$$\begin{aligned} |2\rangle &= L_{-2} |0; 0, 0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \eta_{\mu\nu} \alpha_{-2-n}^\mu \alpha_n^\nu |0; 0, 0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu |0; 0, 0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (0.49)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 2|2\rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\lambda\rho} \langle 0, 0 : 0 | \alpha_1^\lambda \alpha_1^\rho \alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu | 0; 0, 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\lambda\rho} \langle 0, 0 : 0 | \alpha_1^\lambda \alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_1^\rho \alpha_{-1}^\nu | 0; 0, 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\lambda\rho} \eta^{\rho\mu} \langle 0, 0 : 0 | \alpha_1^\lambda \alpha_{-1}^\nu | 0; 0, 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\lambda\rho} \eta^{\rho\nu} \langle 0, 0 : 0 | \alpha_1^\lambda \alpha_{-1}^\mu | 0; 0, 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\lambda\rho} \eta^{\rho\mu} \eta^{\lambda\nu} \langle 0, 0 : 0 | 0; 0, 0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\rho\nu}\eta^{\lambda\mu} \langle 0, 0 : 0|0; 0, 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\rho\mu}\eta^{\lambda\nu} \langle 0, 0 : 0|0; 0, 0 \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\rho\nu}\eta^{\lambda\mu} \\
&= \frac{D}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{0.50}$$

Problem: Show that the state $(a_{-1}^0 + a_{-1}^1)|0\rangle$ has zero norm.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|(a_1^0 + a_1^1)(a_{-1}^0 + a_{-1}^1)|0\rangle &= \langle 0|a_1^0 a_{-1}^0 + a_1^1 a_{-1}^1|0\rangle \\
&= \eta^{00} + \eta^{11} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{0.51}$$

Problem: Show that the boundary conditions on an open string are

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}\delta X^\mu \partial_\sigma X^\nu = 0 \tag{0.52}$$

at $\sigma = 0, \pi$.

Solution: In calculating the Euler-Lagrange equations for the action one integrates by parts:

$$\int d^2\sigma \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta \delta X^\nu = - \int d^2\sigma \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha X^\mu \delta X^\nu + \int d^2\sigma \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta (\partial_\alpha X^\mu \delta X^\nu) \tag{0.53}$$

Thus we need to discard the second term

$$\int d^2\sigma \partial_\beta (\eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \delta X^\nu) = \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\sigma X^\mu \delta X^\nu \tag{0.54}$$

where we have used the fact that the normal to the boundary is $\sigma = \sigma^1$. Locally implies that this term should vanish at each end point separately.

Problem: Show that the constraints imply that $p^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = p^\nu G_{\mu\nu} = 0$ for the level one closed string states $|G_{\mu\nu}\rangle = G_{\mu\nu} \alpha_{-1}^\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu |0; p\rangle$

Solution: Consider L_1 first. We find

$$L_1 G_{\mu\nu} \alpha_{-1}^\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu |0; p\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \eta_{\lambda\rho} \alpha_{1-n}^\lambda \alpha_n^\rho \alpha_{-1}^\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu |0; p\rangle \tag{0.55}$$

Now if $n > 1$ then α_n^ρ can be commuted through until it annihilates $|0; p\rangle$. Similarly if $n < 0$ α_{1-n}^λ can be commuted through until it annihilates $|0; p\rangle$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 G_{\mu\nu} \alpha_{-1}^\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu |0; p\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\lambda\rho} (\alpha_0^\lambda \alpha_1^\rho + \alpha_1^\lambda \alpha_0^\rho) G_{\mu\nu} \alpha_{-1}^\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu G_{\mu\nu} |0; p\rangle \\
&= \eta_{\lambda\rho} \alpha_0^\lambda \alpha_1^\rho \alpha_{-1}^\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu G_{\mu\nu} |0; p\rangle \\
&= \eta_{\lambda\rho} \alpha_0^\lambda \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu \alpha_1^\rho \alpha_{-1}^\mu G_{\mu\nu} |0; p\rangle \\
&= \eta_{\lambda\rho} \alpha_0^\lambda \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu [\alpha_1^\rho, \alpha_{-1}^\mu] G_{\mu\nu} |0; p\rangle \\
&= \eta_{\lambda\rho} \alpha_0^\lambda \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu \eta^{\rho\mu} G_{\mu\nu} |0; p\rangle \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p^\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu G_{\mu\nu} |0; p\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{0.56}$$

Since this must vanish we find $p^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Similarly evaluating $\tilde{L}_1 G_{\mu\nu} \alpha_{-1}^\mu \tilde{\alpha}_{-1}^\nu |0; p\rangle = 0$ will lead to $p^\nu G_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

Problem: Show that

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\mu\nu} &= G_{(\mu\nu)} - \frac{1}{D} \eta^{\lambda\rho} G_{\lambda\rho} \eta_{\mu\nu} \\
b_{\mu\nu} &= G_{[\mu\nu]} \\
\phi &= \eta^{\lambda\rho} G_{\lambda\rho}
\end{aligned} \tag{0.57}$$

will transform into themselves under spacetime Lorentz transformations.

Solution: Let us adopt a matrix notation. Under a Lorentz transformation a tensor G transforms as

$$G' = \Lambda G \Lambda^T \tag{0.58}$$

and Lorentz transformations satisfy $\eta = \Lambda \eta \Lambda^T$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
b' &= \frac{1}{2} (G' - G'^T) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda G \Lambda^T - (\Lambda G \Lambda^T)^T) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda G \Lambda^T - \Lambda G^T \Lambda^T) \\
&= \Lambda b \Lambda^T
\end{aligned} \tag{0.59}$$

so indeed b transforms into itself. It also follows that the symmetric part of G transforms into itself so we need only show that $\phi = \text{Tr}(\eta^{-1} G)$ is invariant. To do this we note that

$$\eta^{-1} = (\Lambda^{-1})^T \eta^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \tag{0.60}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi' &= \text{Tr}(\eta^{-1}G') \\
&= \text{Tr}(\eta^{-1}\Lambda G\Lambda^T) \\
&= \text{Tr}((\Lambda^{-1})^T \eta^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \Lambda G\Lambda^T) \\
&= \text{Tr}((\Lambda^{-1})^T \eta^{-1} G\Lambda^T) \\
&= \text{Tr}(\eta^{-1}G) \\
&= \phi
\end{aligned} \tag{0.61}$$

Problem: Show that in light cone gauge

$$X^- = x^- + p^- \tau + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i \left(\sum_n \frac{\alpha_n^-}{n} e^{-in\sigma^+} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_n^-}{n} e^{-in\sigma^-} \right) \tag{0.62}$$

where

$$\alpha_n^- = \frac{1}{2p^+} \sum_m \alpha_{n-m}^i \alpha_m^j \delta_{ij} \tag{0.63}$$

and similarly for $\tilde{\alpha}_n^-$.

Solution: We need to solve

$$\begin{aligned}
-2\alpha' p^+ \dot{X}^- + \frac{1}{2} \dot{X}^i \dot{X}^j \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} X'^i X'^j \delta_{ij} &= 0 \\
-2\alpha' p^+ X'^- + \dot{X}^i X'^j \delta_{ij} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{0.64}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{X}^i &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_n (\alpha_n^i e^{-in\sigma^+} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^i e^{-in\sigma^-}) \\
X'^i &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_n (\alpha_n^i e^{-in\sigma^+} - \tilde{\alpha}_n^i e^{-in\sigma^-})
\end{aligned} \tag{0.65}$$

where $\alpha_0^i = \tilde{\alpha}_0^i = \sqrt{\alpha'/2} p^i$. Thus

$$\dot{X}^i X'^j \delta_{ij} = \frac{\alpha'}{2} \delta_{ij} \sum_{nm} \alpha_n^i \alpha_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma^+} - \tilde{\alpha}_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma^-} \tag{0.66}$$

From the second equation we find that

$$X^- = F(\tau) + \frac{i}{4p^+} \delta_{ij} \sum_{m+n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_n^i \alpha_m^j}{n+m} e^{-i(n+m)\sigma^+} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j}{n+m} e^{-i(n+m)\sigma^-} \tag{0.67}$$

where $F(\tau)$ is an integration constant.

Let us now consider the first equation so we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}^i \dot{X}^j \delta_{ij} &= \frac{\alpha'}{2} \delta_{ij} \sum_{nm} \alpha_n^i \alpha_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_+} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_-} + (\alpha_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j + \tilde{\alpha}_n^i \alpha_m^j) e^{-in\sigma_+ - im\sigma_-} \\ X'^i X'^j \delta_{ij} &= \frac{\alpha'}{2} \delta_{ij} \sum_{nm} \alpha_n^i \alpha_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_+} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_-} - (\alpha_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j + \tilde{\alpha}_n^i \alpha_m^j) e^{-in\sigma_+ - im\sigma_-} \end{aligned} \quad (0.68)$$

and hence

$$\dot{X}^i \dot{X}^j \delta_{ij} + X'^i X'^j \delta_{ij} = \alpha' \delta_{ij} \sum_{nm} \alpha_n^i \alpha_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_+} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_-} \quad (0.69)$$

Substituting our solution into the first equation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -2\alpha' p^+ \dot{F} - \frac{\alpha'}{2} \delta_{ij} \sum_{n+m=0} \alpha_n^i \alpha_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_+} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_-} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha'}{2} \delta_{ij} \sum_{nm} \alpha_n^i \alpha_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_+} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j e^{-i(n+m)\sigma_-} \end{aligned} \quad (0.70)$$

This implies that

$$2\alpha' p^+ \dot{F} = \frac{\alpha'}{2} \delta_{ij} \sum_p \alpha_p^i \alpha_{-p}^j + \tilde{\alpha}_p^i \tilde{\alpha}_{-p}^j \quad (0.71)$$

Thus $F = \alpha' p^- \tau + x^-$ is linear with

$$-2\alpha' p^+ p^- + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \sum_p \alpha_p^i \alpha_{-p}^j + \tilde{\alpha}_p^i \tilde{\alpha}_{-p}^j = 0 \quad (0.72)$$

Separating out the zero-mode piece we can rewrite this as

$$-4\alpha' p^+ p^- + \alpha' p^i p^j \delta_{ij} + 2(N + \tilde{N}) = 0 \quad (0.73)$$

where

$$N + \tilde{N} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \sum_{n \neq 0} \alpha_n^i \alpha_{-n}^j + \tilde{\alpha}_n^i \tilde{\alpha}_{-n}^j \quad (0.74)$$

can be identified with the total oscillator number of the transverse coordinates.

Lastly we summarise our expression by writing

$$X^- = x^- + \alpha' p^- \tau + i \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_n^-}{n} e^{-in\sigma_+} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_n^-}{n} e^{-in\sigma_-} \quad (0.75)$$

where

$$\alpha_n^- = \frac{1}{4p^+} \sum_m \alpha_{n-m}^i \alpha_m^j \delta_{ij} \quad \tilde{\alpha}_n^- = \frac{1}{4p^+} \sum_m \tilde{\alpha}_{n-m}^i \tilde{\alpha}_m^j \delta_{ij} \quad (0.76)$$

Problem: Show that for a periodic Fermion, where $L_0 = \sum_l l d_{-l} d_l + \frac{1}{24}$ and $\{d_n, d_m\} = \delta_{n,-m}$, one has

$$Z_1 = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^l) \quad (0.77)$$

and for an anti-periodic Fermion, where $L_0 = \sum_r r b_{-r} b_r - \frac{1}{48}$, $\{b_r, b_s\} = \delta_{r,-s}$ and $r, s \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, one has

$$Z_1 = q^{-\frac{1}{48}} \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{l-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (0.78)$$

Solution: Everything follows as it did for the Boson. However there are only two possible cases for each oscillator d_l , either it isn't present or it is present once. In other words because of the anti-commutivity there are just two states $|0\rangle$ and $d_{-n}|0\rangle$ so one has $\sum q^{ld_{-l}d_l} = 1 + q^l$ and hence

$$Z_1 = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^l) \quad (0.79)$$

Similarly for the anti-Periodic Fermion only now we simply write $r = l - \frac{1}{2}$ with $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ to find

$$Z_1 = q^{-\frac{1}{48}} \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{l-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (0.80)$$

Problem: Obtain the equations of motion of

$$S_{effective} = \frac{1}{2\alpha'^{12}} \int d^{26}x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R - 4(\partial\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\lambda} H^{\mu\nu\lambda} \right) \quad (0.81)$$

and show that they agree with

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{4} H_{\mu\lambda\rho} H_{\nu}{}^{\lambda\rho} + 2D_{\mu}D_{\nu}\phi \\ D^{\lambda}H_{\lambda\mu\nu} &= 2D^{\lambda}\phi H_{\lambda\mu\nu} \\ 4D^2\phi - 4(D\phi)^2 &= R + \frac{1}{12}H^2 \end{aligned} \quad (0.82)$$

You may need to recall that $\delta\sqrt{-g} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}g_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}$ and $g^{\mu\nu}\delta R_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu}D_{\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}D^2\delta g^{\mu\nu}$.

Solution:

The equations of motion for ϕ follows pretty much as normal and one finds

$$8D_{\mu}(e^{-2\phi}D^{\mu}\phi) - 2e^{-2\phi} \left(R - 4\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial^{\mu}\phi + \frac{1}{12}H_{\mu\nu\lambda}H^{\mu\nu\lambda} \right) = 0 \quad (0.83)$$

or

$$8D^2\phi - 8D_\mu\phi D^\mu\phi - 2R - \frac{1}{6}H_{\mu\nu\lambda}H^{\mu\nu\lambda} = 0 \quad (0.84)$$

The equation for $b_{\mu\nu}$ is also fairly standard and leads to

$$D_\mu(e^{-2\phi}D^{[\mu}b^{\nu\lambda]}) = 0 \quad (0.85)$$

or

$$D_\mu H^{\mu\nu\lambda} - 2D_\mu\phi H^{\mu\nu\lambda} = 0 \quad (0.86)$$

The important point here is that when we vary the metric we find a term like

$$\int \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi}g^{\mu\nu}\delta R_{\mu\nu} \quad (0.87)$$

appearing. We have that

$$g^{\mu\nu}\delta R_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu D_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}D^2\delta g^{\mu\nu} \quad (0.88)$$

is a total derivative. But now this won't be the case. Integrating the above term by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi}g^{\mu\nu}\delta R_{\mu\nu} &= \int \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi} (D_\mu D_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}D^2\delta g^{\mu\nu}) \\ &= \int \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi} (4D_\nu\phi D_\mu\phi - 4D^\lambda\phi D_\lambda\phi g_{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad - 2D_\mu D_\nu\phi + 2D^2\phi g_{\mu\nu}) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (0.89)$$

Thus one finds, after including all the usual terms,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + 4D_\mu\phi D_\nu\phi - 4D^\lambda\phi D_\lambda\phi g_{\mu\nu} - 2D_\mu D_\nu\phi + 2D^2\phi g_{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad - 4D_\mu\phi D_\nu\phi + 2D_\lambda\phi D^\lambda\phi g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}H_{\mu\lambda\rho}H_\nu{}^{\lambda\rho} - \frac{1}{24}g_{\mu\nu}H_{\lambda\rho\sigma}H^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \\ &= R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R - 2D^\lambda\phi D_\lambda\phi g_{\mu\nu} - 2D_\mu D_\nu\phi + 2D^2\phi g_{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}H_{\mu\lambda\rho}H_\nu{}^{\lambda\rho} - \frac{1}{24}g_{\mu\nu}H_{\lambda\rho\sigma}H^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \end{aligned} \quad (0.90)$$

Next we substitute in the scalar equation, written as

$$R = 4D^2\phi - 4D_\mu\phi D^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{12}H_{\mu\nu\lambda}H^{\mu\nu\lambda} \quad (0.91)$$

and find that

$$0 = R_{\mu\nu} - 2D_\mu D_\nu\phi + \frac{1}{4}H_{\mu\lambda\rho}H_\nu{}^{\lambda\rho} \quad (0.92)$$

Problem: Show that

$$S = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} + i\bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (0.93)$$

is invariant under

$$\delta X^\mu = i\bar{\epsilon} \psi^\mu, \quad \delta \psi^\mu = \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\mu \epsilon \quad (0.94)$$

for any constant ϵ . Here $\bar{\psi} = \psi^T \gamma_0$ and γ^α are real 2×2 matrices that satisfy $\{\gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\beta\} = 2\eta_{\alpha\beta}$. A convenient choice is $\gamma^0 = i\sigma^2$ and $\gamma^1 = \sigma^1$.

Solution: First we note that

$$\delta S = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma 2\partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta \delta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} + i\delta\bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + i\bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \delta\psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (0.95)$$

Looking at the final term we can write it as

$$\begin{aligned} i\bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \delta\psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\alpha (i\bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \delta\psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}) - i\partial_\alpha \bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \delta\psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\ &\equiv -i\partial_\alpha \bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \delta\psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (0.96)$$

where we dropped a total derivative. Next we note that (using a, b for spinor indices)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha \bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \delta\psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\alpha \psi_a^\mu (\gamma_0 \gamma^\alpha)^{ab} \delta\psi_b^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\ &= -\delta\psi_b^\nu (\gamma_0 \gamma^\alpha)^{ab} \partial_\alpha \psi_a^\mu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\ &= -\delta\bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (0.97)$$

In the second line we used the fact that spinors are anti-commuting and in the third line we used that $\gamma_0 \gamma^\alpha$ is a symmetric matrix (convince yourself of this!) and swapped $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$. Thus putting these together we find

$$\delta S = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta \delta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} + i\delta\bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (0.98)$$

To continue we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\bar{\psi}^\mu &= (\gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\mu \epsilon)^T \gamma_0 \\ &= \epsilon^T (\gamma^\alpha)^T \gamma_0 \partial_\alpha X^\mu \\ &= \epsilon^T \gamma_0 \gamma^\alpha \gamma_0 \gamma_0 \partial_\alpha X^\mu \\ &= -\bar{\epsilon} \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (0.99)$$

where in the third line we have used $(\gamma^\alpha)^T = \gamma_0 \gamma^\alpha \gamma_0$ (convince yourself of this too!). We now have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S &= -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma i \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta \bar{\epsilon} \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} - i \bar{\epsilon} \gamma^\beta \partial_\beta X^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma i \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta \bar{\epsilon} \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} - i \bar{\epsilon} \partial_\beta X^\mu (\eta^{\alpha\beta} + \gamma^{\beta\alpha}) \partial_\alpha \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - i \bar{\epsilon} \partial_\beta X^\mu \gamma^{\beta\alpha} \partial_\alpha \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - i \partial_\alpha (\bar{\epsilon} \partial_\beta X^\mu \gamma^{\beta\alpha} \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}) \\
&\equiv 0
\end{aligned} \tag{0.100}$$

Problem: Show that

$$S = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial^\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + i \bar{\psi}_-^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi_-^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + i \bar{\lambda}_+^A \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \lambda_+^B \delta_{AB} \tag{0.101}$$

is invariant under

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta X^\mu &= i \bar{\epsilon}_+ \psi_-^\mu \\
\delta \psi_-^\mu &= \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\mu \epsilon_+ \\
\delta \lambda_+^A &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{0.102}$$

provided that $\gamma_{01} \epsilon_+ = \epsilon_+$.

Solution: This calculation is an exact copy of the previous problem. The important point is that the ϵ_+ generator does not involve the wrong chiral component ψ_+^μ of ψ^μ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_{01})\delta\psi_-^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_{01})\gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\mu \epsilon_+ \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\mu (1 + \gamma_{01})\epsilon_+ \\
&= \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\mu \epsilon_+ \\
&= \delta\psi_-^\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{0.103}$$

Problem: Show that the action

$$S = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial^\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + i \bar{\psi}_-^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi_-^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + i \bar{\lambda}_+^A \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \lambda_+^B \delta_{AB} \tag{0.104}$$

can be written as

$$S = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial^\beta X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + i (\psi_-^\mu)^T (\partial_\tau - \partial_\sigma) \psi_-^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} + i (\lambda_+^A)^T (\partial_\tau + \partial_\sigma) \lambda_+^B \delta_{AB} \tag{0.105}$$

So that ψ_-^μ and λ_+^A are indeed left and right-moving respectively.

Solution: Simply write

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} &= (\psi_-^\mu)^T \gamma_0 (\gamma^0 \partial_\tau + \gamma^1 \partial_\sigma) \psi_-^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\
&= (\psi_-^\mu)^T (\partial_\tau + \gamma_{01} \partial_\sigma) \psi_-^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} \\
&= (\psi_-^\mu)^T (\partial_\tau - \partial_\sigma) \psi_-^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}
\end{aligned} \tag{0.106}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\lambda}_+^A \gamma^\alpha \partial_\alpha \lambda_+^B \delta_{AB} &= (\lambda_+^A)^T \gamma_0 (\gamma^0 \partial_\tau + \gamma^1 \partial_\sigma) \lambda_+^B \delta_{AB} \\
&= (\lambda_+^A)^T (\partial_\tau + \gamma_{01} \partial_\sigma) \lambda_+^B \delta_{AB} \\
&= (\lambda_+^A)^T (\partial_\tau + \partial_\sigma) \lambda_+^B \delta_{AB}
\end{aligned} \tag{0.107}$$