Progress on Threshold Corrections in N=2 Superstring Vacua



Carlo Angelantonj - Università di Torino

Frontiers in String Phenomenology Ringberg Castle - July 28 - August 1, 2014



with I. Florakis and B. Pioline

arXiv:1110.5318 arXiv:1203.0566 arXiv:1304.4271 ...in progress

N=2 (Heterotic) Superstring Vacua have been largely studied in the late 90's. The low-energy effective action has been reconstructed, including (one-loop) radiative corrections to various couplings.

N=2 supersymmetry imposes stringent constraints on the couplings of the classical and quantum Lagrangian, leading to a number of strong non-renormalisation theorems.

N=2 vacua have represented a fertile play-ground to study non-perturbative properties and dualities of quantum field theories and superstring theories

OUTLINE:

In this presentation I will reconsider some of the old results under the light of our recent methods in the theory of modular integrals. This is instrumental to fully dissect the low-energy couplings.

> Introduction to the main tools and conventions; • N=2 Heterotic vacua *without* Wilson lines; ● N=2 Heterotic vacua with Wilson lines; • Conclusions.

We shall be interested in N=2 heterotic vacua obtained as a T² reduction of N=1 six-dimensional vacua. The moduli of the two-torus will be denoted by

$$T = -B_{12} + i\sqrt{G}$$

The moduli space spanned by these fields is

$$\mathscr{M}_{T,U} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{SO}(2,2)}{\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(2)}\right)_{T,U} \sim \left(\frac{\mathrm{SU}(1,1)}{\mathrm{U}(1)}\right)_T \otimes \left(\frac{\mathrm{SU}(1,1)}{\mathrm{U}(1)}\right)_U$$

All physical properties of these classes of *N*=2 vacua are thus covariant with respect to the discrete group:

 $PSL(2;\mathbb{Z})_T \times$

$$U = \frac{G_{12} + i\sqrt{G}}{G_{11}}$$

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2;\mathbb{Z})_U \times \sigma_{T\leftrightarrow U}$$

When Wilson lines are turned on along the spectator T², the moduli space

 $\mathcal{M}_{T,U,W} = \overline{\mathbf{S}}$

is spanned by the complex moduli

$$T = -B_{12} + i\sqrt{G} + \frac{1}{2}Y_1^a W^a \qquad U = \frac{G_{12} + i\sqrt{G}}{G_{11}} \qquad W^a = UY_1^a - Y_2^a$$

In these cases, properties of these classes of N=2 vacua are covariant with respect to the discrete group:

$$SO(2+k,2;\mathbb{Z}) \supset \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{SO}(2+k,2)}{\mathrm{SO}(2+k)\times\mathrm{SO}(2)}$$

$PSL(2;\mathbb{Z})_T \times PSL(2;\mathbb{Z})_U$

The resulting geometry of the vector-scalar manifold is *special Kähler*, and is encoded in a holomorphic function F(X) called the *pre-potential*.

Non-renormalisation theorems of N=2 supersymmetry imply that the holomorphic pre-potential is

$$F(X) = F_{\text{classical}}(X) + F_{\text{one-loop}}(X) + F_{\text{non-pert}}(X)$$

and shall neglect possible non-perturbative effects.

- The Kähler metric, gauge couplings, Yukawa couplings, ... all descend from it.

In the present talk I shall confine the discussion to the perturbative contributions,

The discrete symmetries – i.e. the T duality of the Narain lattice – are exact symmetries of the heterotic vacuum and of its quantum corrected action. Thus the couplings it contains should still be covariant under the discrete group.

An exception is the pre-potential that is in general not invariant under the symmetry group. Actually, based on general arguments one finds that it transforms in-homogeneously.

GOAL: compute the quantum pre-potential and determine its transformation properties under the action of the symmetry group.

[Antoniadis, Ferrara, Gava, Narain, Taylor, 1995] [de Wit, Kaplunovsky, Louis, Lüst, 1995]

A WAY TO COMPUTE THE ONE-LOOP PRE-POTENTIAL

The one-loop moduli metric is

From String Theory

$K_{T\bar{T}} \sim S_2^{-1} G_{T\bar{T}}^{(1)} \sim S_2^{-1} T_2^{-1} D_T D_U f(T, U)$ where F(S, T, U) = STU + f(T, U)

 $D_T D_U f(T, U) = \int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \, \Phi(\tau) \, \partial_\tau \Lambda(T, U)$ Narain lattice

weight -2 holomorphic modular form with simple pole at infinity

Use properties of the Narain lattice to determine a differential equation for f(T,U).

[Antoniadis, Ferrara, Gava, Narain, Taylor, 1995]

ANOTHER WAY TO COMPUTE THE ONE-LOOP PRE-POTENTIAL

Integrate by parts to obtain

Actually, for the previous relation to hold the coefficients cannot be arbitrary. **REMARK**: Gauge thresholds have a similar integrand but they cannot be written as $D_T D_U$ of a holomorphic function.



quasi holomorphic modular function with simple pole at ∞

 $\tilde{\Phi}(\tau) \sim \Delta^{-1}(\alpha \,\hat{E}_2 E_4 E_6 + \beta E_4^3 + \gamma E_6^2)$

 $\beta = 3$, $\gamma = 2$.

 $\alpha = 1$,

ANOTHER WAY TO COMPUTE THE ONE-LOOP PRE-POTENTIAL

However, from any integral of the type

we can extract the (generalised) holomorphic pre-potential, up to an overall, model-dependent, constant.

 $\int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \,\tilde{\Phi}(\tau) \Lambda(T,U)$

A CONVENIENT BASIS FOR QUASI MEROMORPHIC MODULAR FORMS

It is convenient to introduce a basis of (quasi) holomorphic modular forms with (higher-order) poles at the cusp

$$\mathcal{F}(1-\frac{w}{2}+n,\kappa,w) \sim \frac{1}{q^{\kappa}} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_2^n} + \frac{1}{\tau_2^{n-1}}\dots\right) + O(1)$$

These functions have several interesting properties:

- they are eigenmodes of the modular Laplacian;

•
$$D_w \mathcal{F}(s,\kappa,w) \propto \mathcal{F}(s,\kappa,w+2)$$
 • $\bar{D}_w \mathcal{F}(s,\kappa,w) \propto \mathcal{F}(s,\kappa,w-2)$

• their Poincaré series is uniformly and absolutely convergent (even for w < 0);

• they are closed under the action of modular derivatives:

A CONVENIENT BASIS FOR QUASI MEROMORPHIC MODULAR FORMS

Any modular form whose *q*-Laurent expansion has principal part



can be decomposed as

$$\Phi_w(\tau) = \sum_{0 < m \le \kappa} \sum_{\ell=0}^n d_\ell(m) \mathcal{F}(1 - \frac{w}{2} + \ell, m, w)$$

with the coefficients $d_l(m)$ uniquely determined in terms of the $c_l(m)$

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell \leq \kappa \ \ell = 0}}^{n} \frac{c_{\ell}(m) \tau_2^{\ell-n}}{q^m}$$

A CONVENIENT BASIS FOR QUASI MEROMORPHIC MODULAR FORMS

As a result,

$$\int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \, \Phi_w(\tau) \, \Lambda(T, U) = \sum_{0 < m \le \kappa} \sum_{\ell=0}^n d_\ell(m) \, \int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \, \mathcal{F}(1 - \frac{w}{2} + \ell, m, w) \, \Lambda(T, U)$$

For String Theory, $\kappa = 1$ and

$$\int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \,\tilde{\Phi}_0(\tau) \Lambda_{2,2}(T,U) = \sum_{\ell=0}^n d_\ell \,\int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \,\mathcal{F}(1+\ell,1,0) \Lambda_{2,2}(T,U)$$

$$\int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \,\tilde{\Phi}_{-k/2}(\tau) \Lambda_{2+k,2}(T,U,W) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} d_{\ell} \int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \,\mathcal{F}(1+\frac{k}{4}+\ell,1,-\frac{k}{2}) \Lambda_{2+k,2}(T,U,W)$$

A CONVENIENT BASIS FOR (GENERALISED) HOLOMORPHIC PRE-POTENTIAL

Actually, we can say more. If from low-energy supergravity one knows that the one-loop correction to a given coupling can be written as

$$G(S,T,U)=G_0(S,$$

then we can immediately conclude that a stringy calculation would yield

$$f_{\ell}(T,U) \propto \int_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu$$

Similarly, in the presence of Wilson lines

$$f_{\ell}(T, U, W) \propto \int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \Lambda_{2+1}$$

 $T,U) + (D_T D_U)^{\ell} f_{\ell}(T,U)$

 $\Lambda_{2,2}(T,U) \mathcal{F}(1+\ell,1,0)$

 $\mathcal{F}_{k,2}(T,U,W) \mathcal{F}\left(1+\frac{k}{4}+\ell,1,-\frac{k}{2}\right)$

$$f_{\ell}(T,U) \propto \int_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu$$

The integral can be evaluated using your favourite method and the T-Fourier expansion of result can be cast in the form

 $2^{2(1+\ell)}\sqrt{4\pi}\Gamma(\ell+\frac{1}{2})T_2^{-\ell}E(1+\ell,U)+8\,\mathrm{Re}$

(this expression is valid in the chamber $T_2 > U_2$)

 $(\Lambda_{2,2}(T,U)\mathcal{F}(1+\ell,1,0))$

Of course, I will choose mine!?!

$$= \sum_{M>1} \sqrt{\frac{T_2}{M}} e^{2i\pi M T_1} K_{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi M T_2) \mathcal{F}(1+\ell, M, 0; U)$$





N=2 HETEROTIC VACUA WITHOUT WILSON LINES Remember the property $D\mathcal{F}(1+\ell,1)$ and the fact that $\mathcal{F}(1 + \ell, 1, w)$ is holomorphic when w = -2Also $\sqrt{y} K_{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi y)$

8 Re $\sum_{M>1} \sqrt{\frac{T_2}{M}} e^{2i\pi M T_1} K_{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi M T_2) \mathcal{F}(1+\ell, M, 0; U) \propto \text{Re} \sum_{M>1} (-D_T D_U)^{\ell} f_{\ell}(T, U; M)$ with

 $f_{\ell}(T, U; M) \propto \frac{q_T}{M^{2d}}$

$$-2) \propto \mathcal{F}(1+\ell,1,0)$$

$$y) e^{2i\pi x} = D^{\ell}(e^{2i\pi z})$$

$$\frac{M}{2\ell+1} \mathcal{F}(1+\ell,M,-2\ell;U)$$

For the zero Fourier mode

$\propto \operatorname{Re}(-D_T D_U)^{\ell} E(1+\ell,-2\ell;U)$

Non holomorphic, and

 $U^{2\ell} E(1+\ell, -2\ell; -1/U) = E(1+\ell, -2\ell; U)$

What is its interpretation in SUGRA?

 $2^{2(1+\ell)}\sqrt{4\pi}\,\Gamma(\ell+\frac{1}{2})\,T_2^{-\ell}\,E(1+\ell;U) \propto \operatorname{Re}\,(-D_T D_U)^\ell\,f_\ell(T,U;0)$

 $T_{2}^{-\ell} E(1+\ell; U)$

$\propto \operatorname{Re}(-D_T D_U)^{\ell} \tilde{E}_{-2\ell}(U)$

Holomorphic, but

 $U^{2\ell} \tilde{E}_{-2\ell}(-1/U) = \tilde{E}_{-2\ell}(U) + P_{\gamma,2n}(U)$

The full one-loop correction to the holomorphic pre-potential is (l=1)

$$f_1(T,U) = \frac{4\pi^4}{\zeta(4)} \,\tilde{E}_{-2}(U) - 2 \sum_{M>1} \frac{q_T^M}{(2M)^3} \,\mathcal{F}(2,M,-2;U)$$

Under the generators of $PSL(2,Z)_U$

 $f_1(T, U+1) = f_1(T, U)$

 $U^{2} f_{1}(T, -1/U) = f_{1}(T, U)$

(Similar expression exist also for higher *l*)

$$I) + \frac{(2\pi i)^3}{240} \left[\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2}U + \frac{1}{2}U^2\right]$$

$$I) + \frac{(-2\pi i)^3}{288} U$$

[*c.f.* Harvey, Moore, 1996]

$$f_{\ell}(T, U, W) \propto \int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \Lambda_{2+k,2}(T, U, W) \mathcal{F}\left(1 + \frac{k}{4} + \ell, 1, -\frac{k}{2}\right)$$

Following similar steps, for l>0 one can express the integrals in terms of holomorphic pre-potentials. For l=1,

$$\int_{\mathscr{F}} d\mu \,\mathcal{F}(1+2+1,1,-4)\,\mathcal{I}$$

with now

$$\Box = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left[\eta^{ab} \partial_a \partial_b + \frac{k - 2w}{(y_2, y_2)} \left(iy_2^a \partial_a + \frac{1}{2}w \right) \right]$$

$\Lambda_{10,2}(T,U,W) \propto \operatorname{Re} \Box f_1(T,U,W)$

[c.f. Kiritsis, Obers, 1997]

The holomorphic pre-potential admits a T-Fourier series expansion

 $f_1(T, U, W) = f_1(T, U$

with positive frequencies given by

 $f_1(T, U, W; M) \propto$

c.f. with the symmetric lattice case

 $f_1(T, U; M) \propto$

$$(W;0) + \sum_{M>1} f_1(T, U, W; M)$$

$$< \frac{q_T^M}{M^3} \mathcal{F}(2, M, -2; W|U)$$

a Jacobi form generated by the same seed

$$< \frac{q_T^M}{M^3} \mathcal{F}(2, M, -2; U)$$

The holomorphic pre-potential admits a T-Fourier series expansion

 $f_1(T, U, W) = f_1(T, U$

with positive frequencies given by

 $f_1(T, U, W; M) \propto$

They are "trivially" covariant with respect to the Jacobi group

$$(W;0) + \sum_{M>1} f_1(T, U, W; M)$$

$$< \frac{q_T^M}{M^3} \mathcal{F}(2, M, -2; W|U)$$

The zero-frequency mode is fairly more complicated

$$f_1(T, U, W; 0) \propto \sum_{Q^2=2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Li}_3\left(e^{2i\pi W \cdot Q} \right) + \sum_{M>0} \operatorname{Li}_3\left(e^{2i\pi (MU+W \cdot Q)} \right) \right]$$

 $+\tilde{E}_{-2n} +$

From general arguments, it is expected to be a Jacobi integral, *i.e.* to transform in-homogeneously under the Jacobi group.

$$\left[-\frac{1}{3}\,U^3 + 3T\,W^2 + \frac{5}{2}\,U\,W^2\right]$$

Any Jacobi form $\varphi(z|\tau)$ admits a Taylor expansion

 $\varphi(z|\tau) =$

whose coefficients are modular forms of given weight. holomorphic pre-potential to find

$$=\sum_{n>0}\varphi_n(\tau)\,z^n$$

- One could try to compute the Taylor expansion of the zero-frequency mode of the

One could try to compute the Taylor expansion of the zero-frequency mode of the holomorphic pre-potential to find

$$f_1(T, U, W; 0) \propto \sum_{\substack{Q^2=2\\Q>0}} \left[\tilde{E}_{-2}(U, V) \right]$$

 $+(\zeta$

 $+\sum_{\ell>}$

The coefficients are now Eichler integrals and modular forms whose transformation properties are fully under control.

$$(1) - U^3$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Q \cdot W)^2 \left[H_2 + U - \log(2\pi W \cdot Q \eta^2(U)) \right]$$

Putting things together, one finds

 $U^{2} f_{1}(T - W^{2}/2U, -1/U, V)$ $f_1(T, U+1, W) = f_2$ $f_1(T+\lambda\cdot W+\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 U, U, W-$

with

$$S_{\lambda}(T, U, W) = \sum_{\substack{Q^2 = 2\\Q \cdot \lambda > 0}} \left[\frac{1}{2} B_3((W + U\lambda) \cdot Q) + \frac{1}{2} B_3(W \cdot Q) - \sum_{M=0}^{\lambda \cdot Q} B_3(MU + W \cdot Q) \right]$$

 $+(\lambda \cdot W)(6TU + 5U^{2} + 3W^{2}) + \lambda^{2}(3TU^{2} + \frac{5}{2}U^{3} + \frac{3}{2}UW^{2}) + 6U(\lambda \cdot W)^{2} + 6U^{2}(\lambda \cdot W)\lambda^{2} + \frac{3}{2}U^{3}(\lambda^{2})^{2}$

$$W) = f_1(T, U, W) + 37800 i \pi^3 W^2$$

$$f_1(T, U, W) + 12600 i \pi^3 W^2$$

$$+ U\lambda) = f_1(T, U, W) + S_\lambda(T, U, W)$$



CONCLUSIONS

- 0
- 0 integral, thus fixing its transformation properties under the duality group.
- 0 whose behaviour under the duality group is fully under control.

I have revisited the computation of the radiative corrections to the holomorphic pre-potential.

In the absence of Wilson lines its T-Fourier zero mode can be identified with an Eichler

In the presence of Wilson lines the in-homogeneous transformation under the action of the Jacobi group also come entirely from the T-Fourier zero mode, that is now a Jacobi integral

THANK YOU!